

Message Text

SECRET POSS DUPE

PAGE 01 MBFR V 00180 150957Z

44

ACTION SS-25

INFO OCT-01 ISO-00 /026 W

----- 013038

R 150905Z APR 75

FM USDEL MBFR VIENNA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 0945

INFO USMISSION NATO

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

S E C R E T MBFR VIENNA 0180

EXDIS

FROM US REP MBFR

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PARM, NATO

SUBJECT: SOVIET/US BILATERAL OF APRIL 11, 1975

1. BEGIN SUMMARY: ON FRINGE OF SOCIAL OCCASION ON APRIL 11, SOVIET REP KHLESTOV RAISED WITH ME QUESTION OF HOW TO FIND WAY TO MAKE PROGRESS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS AND MADE TWO SUGGESTIONS. HE ALSO URGED THAT BOTH SIDES NOT TAKE A PESSIMISTIC LINE AT END OF ROUND PRESS CONFERENCES AND STATED HIS CONCERN RE POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECT ON VIENNA TALKS OF US OPINION FAVORING HARDER LINE ON DETENTE IN VIEW OF CURRENT WORLD DEVELOPMENTS. END SUMMARY.

2. SOVIET REP KHLESTOV BEGAN DISCUSSION BY REFERRING TO PROBLEM RAISED BY FACT THAT WEST HAD BEEN INSISTING STRONGLY THAT THERE BE ONLY COLLECTIVE MANPOWER CEILINGS. HE SAID "SOME DELEGATES" HAD BEEN DISCUSSING POSSIBILITY THAT NATIONAL CEILINGS MIGHT BE AVOIDED IN A FIRST REDUCTION AGREEMENT IF THE FRG WOULD AGREE TO REDUCTIONS IN SUCH AN AGREEMENT. KHLESTOV SAID THIS WAS NOT AN OFFICIAL IDEA, BUT HE WOULD LIKE MY VIEWS.

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 MBFR V 00180 150957Z

3. I SAID I THOUGHT IT WOULD BE MORE PRODUCTIVE TO SEEK PROGRESS THROUGH A DISCUSSION OF DATA AND DEFINITION

OF GROUND FORCES, POINTING OUT THAT WEST HAD INDICATED WILLINGNESS TO TAKE INTO CONSIDERATION OBJECTIVE EVIDENCE THAT ITS DATA ON EASTERN FORCES WAS INCORRECT.

4. KHLESTOV ASKED WHETHER A DATA DISCUSSION WOULD INCLUDE AIR FORCES AND NUCLEAR WEAPONS. I SAID THAT WE WOULD BE WILLING TO EXCHANGE DATA ON AIR MANPOWER BUT THAT WE CONTINUED TO BELIEVE THAT THE TALKS SHOULD FOCUS ON GROUND FORCES.

5. KHLESTOV REFERRED TO US WRITINGS WHICH INDICATED THAT THE US HAD MORE TACTICAL NUCLEAR WEAPONS IN EUROPE THAN WAS NECESSARY AND WHICH ADVOCATED REDUCTIONS. I REPLIED I WAS AWARE OF THESE WRITINGS BUT THAT THEY DID NOT REPRESENT GOVERNMENT POLICY.

6. KHLESTOV THEN REFERRED TO THE FACT THAT THE WEST HAD REJECTED THE EASTERN FREEZE PROPOSAL PARTLY ON THE GROUNDS THAT IT WOULD RESULT IN NATIONAL CEILINGS FOR THE DURATION OF THE NEGOTIATIONS. HE SAID HE THOUGHT IT MIGHT BE POSSIBLE TO HAVE A COLLECTIVE CEILING FOR THE TERM OF THE FREEZE AND ASKED IF WESTERN REACTION WOULD BE MORE FAVORABLE TO A FREEZE ON THIS BASIS. I REPLIED THAT THE ALLIES WERE NOT INTERESTED IN A FREEZE PRIOR TO REACHING AN ACCEPTABLE AGREEMENT ON REDUCTIONS BUT WOULD PUT A COLLECTIVE MANPOWER FREEZE INTO EFFECT FROM THE DATE OF SUCH AGREEMENT.

7. WITH RESPECT TO THE PROSPECTIVE END-OF-ROUND PRESS CONFERENCES, KHLESTOV EXPRESSED THE HOPE THAT BOTH SIDES WOULD NOT BE TOO PESSIMISTIC LESS THIS PLAY INTO THE HANDS OF THOSE PERSONS ON EACH SIDE WHO OPPOSED THE NEGOTIATIONS. I COMMENTED THAT THE WEST PLANNED TO INDICATE THAT IT WAS NOT PESSIMISTIC ABOUT REACHING AN EVENTUAL AGREEMENT.

8. KHLESTOV EXPRESSED CONCERN THAT THERE WERE PERSONS IN THE US WHO WERE URGING THE US TO ADOPT A HARDER LINE WITH RESPECT TO DETENTE IN VIEW OF RECENT DEVELOPMENTS THROUGHOUT THE WORLD, PARTICULARLY IN INDOCHINA. HE SAID

SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 MBFR V 00180 150957Z

HE THOUGHT THAT SUCH PERSONS EXAGGERATED THE SIGNIFICANCE OF THESE DEVELOPMENTS. HE SAID HE THOUGHT THAT IT WAS IMPORTANT THAT THIS ATTITUDE NOT INTERFERE WITH ATTEMPTS TO MAKE PROGRESS IN THE NEGOTIATIONS. WITH RESPECT TO PORTUGAL, HE ASSERTED THAT THERE HAD BEEN NO EXTERNAL INFLUENCE.

9. KHLESTOV REITERATED SEVERAL TIMES THE IMPORTANCE OF THE VIENNA TALKS AND THE RESPONSIBILITY OF DELEGATION HEADS TO FIND WAY OF MAKING PROGRESS. RESOR

SECRET

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: Z
Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994
Channel Indicators: n/a
Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED
Concepts: DETENTE, DIPLOMATIC DISCUSSIONS, NEGOTIATIONS, MUTUAL FORCE REDUCTIONS
Control Number: n/a
Copy: SINGLE
Draft Date: 15 APR 1975
Decaption Date: 28 MAY 2004
Decaption Note: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: GolinoFR
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1975MBFRV00180
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00
Drafter: n/a
Enclosure: n/a
Executive Order: GS
Errors: N/A
Film Number: D750131-0132
From: MBFR VIENNA
Handling Restrictions: n/a
Image Path:
ISecure: 1
Legacy Key: link1975/newtext/t19750451/aaaabvav.tel
Line Count: 115
Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM
Office: ACTION SS
Original Classification: SECRET
Original Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Original Previous Classification: n/a
Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a
Page Count: 3
Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: SECRET
Previous Handling Restrictions: EXDIS
Reference: n/a
Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED
Review Authority: GolinoFR
Review Comment: n/a
Review Content Flags:
Review Date: 07 APR 2003
Review Event:
Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <07 APR 2003 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <08 APR 2003 by GolinoFR>
Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld
Declassified/Released
US Department of State
EO Systematic Review
05 JUL 2006

Review Media Identifier:
Review Referrals: n/a
Review Release Date: n/a
Review Release Event: n/a
Review Transfer Date:
Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a
Secure: OPEN
Status: NATIVE
Subject: SOVIET/US BILATERAL OF APRIL 11, 1975
TAGS: PARM, UR, US, NATO
To: STATE
Type: TE
Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 05 JUL 2006